



iru

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Brosnan et al.

Application No.: 10/716,319

Filed: November 17, 2003

Title: OPEN ARCHITECTURE

COMMUNICATIONS IN A GAMING

NETWORK

Attorney Docket No.: IGT1P077D1/P-299 CIP

DIV

Examiner: Sunit Pandya

Group: 3714

Confirmation No.: 5253

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as first-class mail on March 1, 2007 in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA:22313-1450.

Signed:

hereyce R. Brown

<u>INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT AS NOTICE</u> <u>OF LITIGATION UNDER 37 CFR §§ 1.56, 1.59, 1.97 and 1.178</u>

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In accordance with 37 CFR §§ 1.56, 1.97 and 1.178, and MPEP § 2001.06(c), Applicant respectfully submits the following Information Disclosure Statement and Notice of Litigation for the attention of the Examiner in the above-identified application.

NOTICE OF LITIGATION

U.S. Patent No. 6,722,985 is presently being asserted in a litigation proceedings. This litigation is fashioned as:

Plaintiff: IGT

Defendants: Alliance Gaming Corp., Bally Gaming International, Inc., and Bally Gaming, Inc., d/b/a Bally Gaming and Systems

This case is pending in the District of Nevada. The case number is CV-S-04-1676-RCJ-(RJJ). This case is currently Active.

The MPEP states,

2001.06(c) Information From Related Litigation [R-2] - 2000 Duty of Disclosure

2001.06(c) Information From Related Litigation [R-2]

Where the subject matter for which a patent is being sought is or has been involved in litigation, the existence of such litigation and any other material information arising there from must be brought to the attention of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. Examples of such material information include evidence of possible prior public use or sales, questions of inventorship, prior art, allegations of "fraud," "inequitable conduct," and "violation of duty of disclosure." Another example of such material information is any assertion that is made during litigation, which is contradictory to assertions made to the examiner. *Environ Prods., Inc. v. Total Containment, Inc.*, 43 USPQ2d 1288, 1291 (E.D. Pa. 1997). Such information might arise during litigation in, for example, pleadings, admissions, discovery including interrogatories, depositions, and other documents and testimony.

The above referenced patent application shares subject matter with U.S. patent 6,722,985 via common elements in their respective specifications. Because of the overlap in the specifications, Applicant believes it is possible that information material to the present application may arise in the course of the above referenced litigation proceeding. Thus, the purpose of this disclosure is to bring to the attention of the USPTO the existence of the litigation proceeding so that the Examiner in the present application can make an independent inquiry into the litigation if the Examiner deems it necessary. It is Applicants intent to disclose any material information that may arise in the future from the disclosed litigation proceedings.

It is believed that no fees are due in connection with the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement. However, if it is determined that any fees are due, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge such fees to Deposit Account 500388 (Order No. IGT1P077D1).

Respectfully submitted,

BEYER WEAVER LLP

Registration No. 48,615

P.O. Box 70250 Oakland, CA 94612-0250 (510) 663-1100

- 07	Zo,		
MAIN & TRADENT	Eorm 1449 (Modified)	Atty Docket No. IGT1P077D1/P-299 CIP DIV	Application No.: 10/716,319
	Information Disclosure	Applicant:	
	Statement By Applicant	Brosnan et al.	
		Filing Date	Group
	(Use Several Sheets if Necessary)	November 17, 2003	3714

U.S. Patent Documents

	CIDIT WOLLD COMMONS						
Examiner						Sub-	Filing
Initial	No.	Patent No.	Date	Patentee	Class	class	Date
	<u> </u>						
	 						
	-	 				 	
				_			
	<u> </u>					ļ	
	1					<u> </u>	
				· ·			

Foreign Patent or Published Foreign Patent Application

Examiner		Document	Publication	Country or		Sub-	Trans	lation
Initial	No.	No.	Date	Patent Office	Class	class	Yes	No

Other Documents

Examiner Initial	No.	Author, Title, Date, Place (e.g. Journal) of Publication		
IIIIII		Defendant's Invalidity Contentions in Litigation Proceedings: IGT vs. Bally's District of Nevada Case No. CV-S-04-1676-RCJ-(RJJ) (194 pages).		
Examiner		Date Considered		

Examiner: Initial citation considered. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.